Ī	Case 2:13-cv-01210-JAD-NJK Document 6	6 Filed 10/28/13 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9	* * *	
10		
11	MIKE WILEY,	
12	Plaintiff(s),	2:13-cv-01210-JAD-NJK
13	v.	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,	REPORT & RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE
15	Defendant(s).	JUDGE
16		
17	Plaintiff Mike Wiley is proceeding in this action <i>pro se</i> . Plaintiff filed a complaint on July	
18	10, 2013. Docket No. 1. Because the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis,	
19	the Court screened the complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). Docket No. 4. The Court found	
20	that Plaintiff's complaint was deficient because Plaintiff failed to allege a state law duty on which	
21	his Federal Tort Claims Act claims were premised. <i>Id.</i> at 4-5. The Court further noted that it	
22	appeared that Plaintiff's claims were barred by the discretionary function exception. <i>Id.</i> at 5-7. The	
23	Court granted Plaintiff leave to amend his complaint, requiring that an amended complaint be filed	
24	no later than October 23, 2013. <i>Id.</i> at 7. The Court indicated that "[f]ailure to comply with this	
25	Order will result in the recommended dismissal of this case, without prejudice." <i>Id.</i> at 8.	
26	To date, the Court has not received an amended complaint or a motion to extend the deadline	
27	for filing one.	
28	//	

Case 2:13-cv-01210-JAD-NJK Document 6 Filed 10/28/13 Page 2 of 2

1	Accordingly, IT IS THE RECOMMENDATION of the undersigned United State	
2	Magistrate Judge that this case be DISMISSED without prejudice.	
3	<u>NOTICE</u>	
4	Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2 any objection to this Report and Recommendation mus	
5	be in writing and filed with the Clerk of the Court within 14 days of service of this document	
6	The Supreme Court has held that the courts of appeal may determine that an appeal has been waive	
7	due to the failure to file objections within the specified time. <i>Thomas v. Arn</i> , 474 U.S. 140, 141	
8	(1985). This circuit has also held that (1) failure to file objections within the specified time and (2	
9	failure to properly address and brief the objectionable issues waives the right to appeal the Distric	
10	Court's order and/or appeal factual issues from the order of the District Court. Martinez v. Ylst, 95	
11	F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991); Britt v. Simi Valley United Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.	
12	1983).	
13	DATED: October 28, 2013	
14		
15	NANCY J. KOPPE	
16	United States Magistrate Judge	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		